AMBAG
Board of Directors Meeting

June 9, 2021
Item #1: Call to Order
Item #2: Roll Call
Item #3: Oral Communications from the Public on Items Not on the Agenda

(A maximum of three minutes on any subject not on the agenda)
Item #4: Oral Communications from the Board on Items Not on the Agenda
Item #5A: Executive/Finance Committee

Recommended Action: Information
Item #5B: Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary (MBNMS) Advisory Council (SAC) Meeting

Recommended Action: Direct
Item #6: Executive Director’s Report

Recommended Action: Information
Item #7: Consent Agenda (Items #7A-7F)

Recommended Action: Approve
Item #8: Items Removed from Consent Calendar for Discussion and Possible Action
Item #9A: Draft 2021 Title VI Plan

Recommended Action: Approve
Draft 2021 Title VI/LEP Plan

ASSOCIATION OF MONTEREY BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS

MIRANDA TAYLOR, PLANNER
JUNE 9, 2021
Overview

- Federal and State planning requirement

- AMBAG is required to prepare and adopt a Title VI Plan every three years

- This is AMBAG’s third Title VI Plan for the Monterey Bay region and includes a Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan within the Draft 2021 Title VI Plan

- The Draft 2021 Title VI Plan covers a three-year period from 2021-2024
Key Sections in the *Draft 2021 Title VI Plan*

- Demographic Profile of the AMBAG Region

- Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan
  - LEP Populations were identified within the AMBAG Region and maps were created using Geographic Informational Systems (GIS) and U.S. Census Data
  - Public Outreach Strategies that enhance participation and outreach to LEP Populations within the AMBAG Region

- Title VI Notice, Title VI Complaint Procedures and Title VI Complaint Forms are in English, Spanish, Tagalog, Chinese, Vietnamese and Korean
Figure E-1: Percent of Total Limited English Speaking Households (AMBAG Region)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>Total Households</th>
<th>Total Limited English Speaking Households</th>
<th>Percent of Total Limited English Speaking Households</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMBAG Region</td>
<td>241,108</td>
<td>23,036</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey County</td>
<td>127,155</td>
<td>15,798</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmel-By-The-Sea</td>
<td>1,927</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Rey Oaks</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonzales</td>
<td>2,077</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenfield</td>
<td>3,702</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King City</td>
<td>3,325</td>
<td>1,144</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marina</td>
<td>7,771</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey</td>
<td>11,872</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Grove</td>
<td>6,839</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinas</td>
<td>40,800</td>
<td>7,951</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand City</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seaside</td>
<td>10,598</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soledad</td>
<td>3,674</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Of County</td>
<td>33,774</td>
<td>2,561</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Benito County</td>
<td>18,135</td>
<td>1,575</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollister</td>
<td>10,995</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan Bautista</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Of County</td>
<td>6,452</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td>95,818</td>
<td>5,663</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitola</td>
<td>4,461</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>22,579</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotts Valley</td>
<td>4,420</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watsonville</td>
<td>14,717</td>
<td>3,385</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Of County</td>
<td>49,641</td>
<td>1,633</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Next Steps

- AMBAG will present the draft plan to various committees and working groups in the region
  - RTPAs’ Technical Advisory Committees (TAC)
  - RTPAs’ Social Services Technical Advisory Committees (SSTAC)
- June 9 - July 9, 2021: 30-day public comment period
- August 11, 2021: AMBAG Board of Directors scheduled to approve the Final 2021 Title VI Plan
Recommendation

- The Board of Directors is asked to release the 2021 Draft Title VI Plan for a 30-day public comment period
Questions?
Item #9B: 6th Cycle Regional Housing Needs Allocation Schedule and Process

Recommended Action: Information
6th Cycle RHNA Overview and Schedule

Heather Adamson, AICP
June 9, 2021
Overview

- Background
- Process
- Schedule
- Statutory RHNA Objectives
- Statutory RHNA Factors
- Methodology Options
- Next Steps
RHNA Background

- With the passage of SB 375, RHNA is updated every 8 years or every 2 MTP/SCS cycles
- Each Council of Government is responsible for RHNA allocation
  - AMBAG responsible for RHNA allocation for Monterey and Santa Cruz County jurisdictions only
  - SBtCOG is responsible for RHNA allocation for San Benito County jurisdictions
- Today’s presentation focuses on AMBAG’s approach
**The RHNA Process**

**RHNA Determination/Assessment**
- HCD Determines RHNA
  - (data sharing with DOF & COG)
  - (New objectives and factors!)

**RHNA Distribution/Allocation**
- COG develops RHNA Plan
  - HCD Reviews (New!)

**RHNA Planning**
- Local Governments
  - ~1 year

- Housing Elements and APRs (HCD Reviews)

- ~1-2 years
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TARGET SCHEDULE</th>
<th>TASK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring/Summer 2021</td>
<td>AMBAG early consultation with HCD on 6th Cycle RHNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring/Summer 2021</td>
<td>Discussions with PDF on methodology options and factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1, 2021</td>
<td>Jurisdictions receive RHNA survey on local planning factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 16, 2021</td>
<td>RHNA survey due from jurisdictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2021</td>
<td>Regional Housing Needs Determination expected from HCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2021</td>
<td>HCD presents at AMBAG Board meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer-Winter 2021</td>
<td>RHNA methodology options discussed by AMBAG Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2021</td>
<td>Selection of proposed methodology by AMBAG Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2021 – January 2022</td>
<td>HCD Reviews Draft Methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January/February 2022</td>
<td>Approval of final RHNA methodology by AMBAG Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January/February 2022</td>
<td>Release draft RHNA plan with RHNA allocations by jurisdiction for public comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2022</td>
<td>AMBAG releases final MTP/SCS accommodating RHNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2022</td>
<td>Adoption of final RHNA plan &amp; allocations by AMBAG Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2023</td>
<td>Jurisdiction’s 6th Cycle Housing Elements are due to HCD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Numerous housing bills led to increased RHNA allocations and additional issues that must be addressed (SB 828, AB 1771, and AB 686):

- Vacancy rates
- Overcrowding
- Higher than average housing cost burdens than comparable regions
- Prohibits the use of previous underproduction of housing or stable population growth to reduce RHNA goals
- Requires RHNA methodologies to promote fair housing, and reduce income and racial segregation when allocating housing
The 5 RHNA Objectives

1. Increase Housing Supply and Mix of Housing Types
2. Promote Infill, Equity, and Environment
3. Ensure Jobs Housing Balance and Fit
4. Promote Regional Income Parity
5. Affirmatively Further Fair Housing
The 12 RHNA Factors

1. Jobs and housing relationship
2. Infrastructure, availability of land, preserved land
3. Maximizing transit and transportation infrastructure
4. Directing growth toward incorporated areas
5. Loss of assisted housing units
6. Loss of units during emergency
7. High housing cost burdens
8. Rate of overcrowding
9. Farmworkers housing needs
10. Housing needs of college students
11. SB 375 GHG reduction targets
12. Other factors
Reviewing Other Regions

- Review of six other COGs
  - Southern California (SCAG)
  - Sacramento (SACOG)
  - San Diego (SANDAG)
  - SF Bay Area (ABAG)
  - Santa Barbara County (SBCAG)
  - Butte County (BCOG)

- Significant RHNA increases from 5th Cycle
- AFFH objective led to minor/moderate changes in methodologies compared to last round
- Methodology complexity increased
- Input from Planning Directors
Next Steps

- AMBAG will continue to collect input and begin assessing data for associated allocation methods
- AMBAG will continue consulting with the Planning Directors and Board of Directors on RHNA methodology development
- Jurisdiction survey will be sent out in early July; responses due in mid-August
- HCD scheduled to issue RHNA Determination to AMBAG in August
Questions?
Item #10: Adjournment